

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/694,338	10/27/2003	Leonid Isaakovich Rubinstein	TH-1786 (US)	TH-1786 (US) 3857		
23632	7590 07/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SHELL OIL	<del></del>	OH, TAY	OH, TAYLOR V			
P O BOX 2463 HOUSTON, 7	TX 772522463	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,			1625			

- 12-2-12-

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		~^ )						
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
	0.55	10/694,3	38	RUBINSTEIN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	<del></del>			
		Taylor Vi		1625				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	<b>is</b>			
THE M/ - Extension after SD - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure to Any repi	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days bried for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no eviction.  s, a reply within the state period will apply and was a statute, cause the apply and was a statute.	vent, however, may a reply be tin atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this community (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status		·						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	23 May 2005.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	n of Claims	•						
4a 5)□ C 6)□ C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction are	thdrawn from co						
Application	n Papers							
9)□ Th	ne specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)□ Th	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Ac a)☐ 1. 2. 3.	knowledgment is made of a claim for fo	ments have been ments have been priority docum sureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	je			
Attachment(s)								
``	f References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice o	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) 🔲 Informat Paper N	ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S o(s)/Mail Date	SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	i			

## Restriction and Election

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- 1. Claims 1-13, drawn to a catalyst composition comprising silver and an alkali metal promoter containing potassium, classified in class 502, subclass 330.
- 11. Claims 14-27, drawn to a process for preparing an olefin oxide comprising an olefin with oxygen in the presence of a catalyst comprising silver and an alkali metal promoter on a carrier, classified in class 549, subclass 403.
- III. Claim 28, drawn to a method of making a 1,2-diol or a 1,2-diol ether comprising converting an olefin oxide into a 1,2-diol or a 1,2-diol ether, classified in class 568, subclasses 618 and 678.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Page 3

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the invention of Group II, the process for preparing an olefin oxide comprising an olefin with oxygen in the presence of a catalyst, can be made by the different process as shown in McIntyre (US 4,726,887), which describes that the olefin oxide is prepared by introducing KBr, oxygen and a butylenes into an electrochemical cell. The subcombination has separate utility such as the preparation of unsaturated carboxylic acid as shown in White et al (US 5,347,046). Therefore, in the instant case, they are two different inventions.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the invention of Group I is directed to the catalyst composition comprising silver and an alkali metal promoter containing potassium, whereas the invention of Group III is directed to the method of making a 1,2-diol or a 1,2-diol ether comprising converting an olefin into a 1,2-diol or a 1,2-diol ether. Each of them has different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. They are unrelated to each other because the invention of Group I is related to the catalyst composition, whereas the invention of Group III is for the method of making a 1,2-diol or a 1,2-diol ether. Therefore, in the instant case, they are two different inventions.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,338

Art Unit: 1625

Inventions II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediatefinal product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product of the invention of Group II is deemed to be useful as for producing urethanes, coating compositions, molding materials, surface active agents, plasticizers as shown in Kuriyama et al (US 4,476,314) unrelated to the invention of Group III and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Therefore, in the instant case, they are two different inventions.

Page 4

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,338

Art Unit: 1625

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, or Group III, and the Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Jennifer D. Adamson on 7/20/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taylor Victor Oh whose telephone number is 571-272-0689. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,338

Art Unit: 1625

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

\*\*\* Jay 1 5 0h